

CITY COUNCIL
TRANSPORTATION SUBCOMMITTEE
MEETING

5:30 PM, May 26, 2005
Milpitas City Hall
Committee Conference Room

AGENDA

1. Call to Order
2. Pledge of Allegiance
3. Public Forum

Members of the audience are invited to address the Subcommittee on any subject not on the agenda. Speakers must supply their name and address for the record and are limited to 3 minutes. Subcommittee members cannot respond to issues brought forward, but may request the item to be placed on the agenda for future meeting.

4. Approval of April 28, 2005 Meeting Minutes*
5. Undulators Policy*
6. North San Jose Redevelopment EIR Status Report
7. Other Business
8. Set Time and Date for Next Meeting – Thursday, June 23 (or 30), 2005, 5:30pm
9. Adjournment

*Item attached

Distribution:

Mayor Jose Esteves, Transportation Subcommittee Member
Vice-Mayor Armando Gomez, Transportation Subcommittee Member
Robert Livengood, Council Member
Althea Polanski, Council Member
Debbie Giordano, Council Member
Charlie Lawson, Acting City Manager
Greg Armendariz, City Engineer
Mike McNeely, Engineering Department
Cindy Maxwell, Principal Administrative Analyst
Joe Oliva, Principal Transportation Planner
Janice Nadal, Assistant Transportation Planner
Tambri Heyden, Acting Planning & Neighborhood Services Director
Jaime Rodriguez, Traffic Engineer

_____ * Approve Revised Policy for the Installation of Pavement Undulators within Residential Neighborhoods as a Traffic Calming Device (Contact Person: Jaime O. Rodriguez x3335)

Background:

The City of Milpitas adopted a policy on the installation of pavement undulators as a traffic-calming device on October 21, 1997. A total of 56 pavement undulators have been installed in the City under the current policy since its adoption.

The current policy outlines six steps, as follows, to be administered by staff when petition requests are received:

1. The residential identified street(s) have demonstrated speeding problems that persists after non-structural means such as increased enforcement or use of radar board have been tried and at least one neighborhood meeting has been conducted.
2. The identified street(s) is a local residential street(s) with a 25-MPH prima facie speed limit.
3. The identified street(s) contain slopes less than 6%.
4. The request is supported by a significant majority (greater than 70%) from the affected residents. (Neighborhood meeting would be held to help assess this support level).
5. The identified street(s) reflects the traffic counts greater than 750 vehicles per day (vpd)
6. A staff report and recommendation are to be forwarded to the City Council for determination on a case-by-case basis.

Staff is recommending the following revised policy for the installation of pavement undulators within residential streets to require staff to exhaust all non-structural alternatives to pavement undulators immediately upon requests for studies, to provide more clear direction to residents that wish to begin a petition process, to clarify the requirements of the neighborhood support survey administered by staff, and to modify the process to allow the City's Transportation Subcommittee to hear preliminary discussions on studies so that the Transportation Subcommittee can include its recommendation to City Council on studies. The proposed revised policy would include the following steps:

1. The residents of the subject street(s) submit a petition, signed by 70% or more of the households on the subject street(s), requesting the installation of pavement undulators. The signature(s) should be from the head(s) of each household or property owner(s).
2. The resident identified street(s) is a local residential street(s) with a 25 mph prima facie speed limit.
3. The resident identified street(s) contain slopes less than 6%.
4. The resident identified street(s) reflects traffic counts of greater than 750 vehicles per day (vpd).
5. The resident identified street(s) continue to exhibit speed compliance after non-structural means such as increased enforcement, use of radar board, and signage & markings alternatives have been exhausted and at least one neighborhood meeting is conducted.
6. Staff shall conduct an Engineering & Traffic Survey of the resident identified street(s) and any staff recommended street(s). The critical speed of the street(s) should be greater than 30 MPH.
7. Staff shall conduct a Community Support Survey that reflects support of greater than 70% from the households of the subject street(s) in support of the pavement undulators, including support from all households and property owners living adjacent to the proposed undulator locations.
8. A staff report and recommendation shall be forwarded to the City Council for determination on a case-by-case basis.

Recommendation:

Approve revised policy for the installation of pavement undulators within residential neighborhoods as a traffic-calming device. (Contact Person: Jaime O. Rodriguez, x3335)

Draft

Consent
Yes

**CITY OF MILPITAS
AGENDA REQUEST AND SUMMARY
COVER SHEET**

Item No.

TITLE
Approve Revised Policy for the Installation of Pavement Undulators within Residential Neighborhoods as a Traffic Calming Device. (Contact Person: Jaime O. Rodriguez, x3335)

MEETING OF:			
DATE: TBD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> City Council	<input type="checkbox"/> Redevelopment Agency	<input type="checkbox"/> Joint

CATEGORY (check one):	
<input type="checkbox"/> Presentation	<input type="checkbox"/> Ordinances
<input type="checkbox"/> Public Hearing	<input type="checkbox"/> Resolutions
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Report of: <u>Transportation Subcommittee</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> Bids and Contracts
<input type="checkbox"/> Unfinished Business	<input type="checkbox"/> Claims and Demands
<input type="checkbox"/> New Business	<input type="checkbox"/> Other

ATTACHMENTS:	NO ATTACHMENTS (check box)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

SEND AGENDA TO:	Mail Attachments	
	Yes	No

Submitted by	Dept. Head Approval	City Attorney Approval	City Manager Approval
Jaime O, Rodriguez	Greg Armanderiz		

Draft

MEMORANDUM

Transportation Planning Division



To: Transportation Subcommittee
From: Joseph J. Oliva III, Principal Transportation Planner
Subject: North San Jose GPA DEIR
Date: May 20, 2005

Recommendation

1. Direct Staff to prepare an agenda item for the City Council outlining the unresolved issues and impacts of the project on the City of Milpitas.
2. Direct Staff to outline these issues/impacts before the City of San Jose Planning Commission on June 2.
3. Direct Staff to outline these issues/impacts at the City of San Jose City Council meeting on June 21.

Discussion

On April 19th, the City Council approved Staff's letter of comment on the North San Jose General Plan Amendment (GPA) Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR). The comment letter was sent to the City of San Jose prior to the Public Review deadline of April 25th. A meeting between City of Milpitas and San Jose Staff was held on May 4th to discuss issues raised in our comment letter. The major issues discussed were traffic impacts, odor impacts and water and sewer impacts.

Based on discussions with City of San Jose Staff, the Final Environmental Impact Report (FEIR) will be released on May 20th. The GPA will be considered by the City of San Jose Planning Commission on June 2nd and the City Council on June 21st. Staff has prepared an analysis of the GPA's impact to the City's east/west corridors (Calaveras Boulevard, Tasman Drive/Great Mall Parkway and Montague Expressway) during both AM and PM peak hour periods. The tables are included as an attachment to this memorandum.

The tables indicate a substantial impact to the three-east/west corridors in Milpitas. The GPA will add 2,200 and 3,500 vehicle trips through Milpitas during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively. This added traffic from the GPA represents a 19.5% (AM Peak hour) and 27.5 % (PM peak hour) increase in the east/west corridors over existing traffic volumes. All three east/west corridors are projected to operate at unacceptable levels of service under year 2030 conditions.